

## On the Adèle rings of radical extensions of the rationals

By

ELIOT JACOBSON and WILLIAM YSLAS VÉLEZ\*)

**1. Introduction.** In 1926, Gassman [2] discovered that algebraic number fields are not completely determined by their zeta functions. Subsequently, Perlis [9] produced two infinite families of pairs of nonisomorphic fields with identical zeta functions. Following Perlis, we call two number fields *arithmetically equivalent* if their zeta functions coincide.

Komatsu [7, 8] showed that if  $k \geq 3$  and  $X^{2^k} - a$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then the fields  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[2^k]{a})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[2^k]{a} \cdot \sqrt{2})$  are arithmetically equivalent. Under the added assumption that  $a$  is square-free, he also settled the more delicate question of determining when the Adèle rings of these fields are isomorphic.

Our present effort amounts to a complete description of those integers  $n, a, b$  for which the Adèle rings of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{a})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{b})$  are isomorphic. Along the way we will also give a characterization of when these two fields are arithmetically equivalent. Of course, if these fields are isomorphic, then there is nothing to be done, so it is important to be able to recognize such an isomorphism. This problem, however, has already been solved (see [1, 10]). In order to state the result, we develop some terminology.

In what follows we shall have no need for explicit mention of the zeta function (see 1.2), thus the notation  $\zeta_n$  is reserved for a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. For any  $t$ , set  $\eta_t = \zeta_{2^t} + \zeta_{2^t}^{-1}$ . For any field  $F$  (with  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$ ) define  $T$  as follows: if  $\eta_t \in F$  for all  $t$ , set  $T = \infty$ , otherwise let  $T = \max\{t: \eta_t \in F\}$ . This is well defined since if  $\eta_t \in F$  then the equality  $\eta_t^2 = 2 + \eta_{t-1}$  implies that  $\eta_j \in F$  for all  $j \leq t$ .

**1.1 Theorem.** *Let  $F$  be a field with  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$  and suppose  $X^n - a, X^n - b$  are irreducible over  $F$ . Then the fields  $F(\sqrt[n]{a}), F(\sqrt[n]{b})$  are  $F$ -isomorphic if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $ab^i \in F^n$  for some  $i$  with  $(i, n) = 1$ , or
- (ii)  $T < \infty, 2^{T+1} | n, -a \in F^2, -b \in F^2$ , and  $ab^i(2 + \eta_T)^{n/2} \in F^n$  for some  $i$  with  $(i, n) = 1$ .

**Remark.** Since  $\zeta_4 + \zeta_4^{-1} = 0$  and  $\zeta_8 + \zeta_8^{-1} = \sqrt{2}$ , it follows that in the case  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  we have  $T = 2$ . Note the similarity of 1.1 with 1.3 to follow.

---

\*) This author was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant # PRM 82-13783. Part of this work was completed while the second author was a visiting professor at the Mathematics Institute, University of Heidelberg. We wish to thank Professor P. Roquette for several helpful suggestions.

If  $F$  is an algebraic number field, then  $\mathcal{O}_F$  denotes the ring of integers in  $F$ , while  $\mathcal{A}_F$  will denote the Adèle ring of  $F$ . For any prime  $P$  of  $F$ ,  $F_P$  is the completion of  $F$  at  $P$ . If  $p$  is a rational prime, and  $p$  factors  $p\mathcal{O}_F = P_1^{e_1} \cdots P_g^{e_g}$ , where the inertial degrees  $f_i = f(P_i/p)$  are arranged so that  $f_i \leq f_{i+1}$ , then the tuple  $S_F(p) = (f_1, \dots, f_g)$  is called the *splitting type* of  $p$  in  $F$ . The importance of splitting types to our work is indicated by the following result ([9], Theorem 1).

**1.2 Theorem.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be two algebraic number fields. Then the following are equivalent.*

- a)  $E$  and  $F$  are arithmetically equivalent.
- b)  $S_E(p) = S_F(p)$  for all but finitely many primes  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- c)  $S_E(p) = S_F(p)$  for all primes  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Furthermore, if  $E$  and  $F$  are arithmetically equivalent, then their Galois closures coincide.

If  $K/F$  is a finite extension of number fields, we denote  $S(K/F) = \{P \in \mathcal{O}_F: P \text{ has a prime divisor in } \mathcal{O}_K \text{ of relative inertial degree 1 over } P\}$ . Two fields  $K_1, K_2$  are said to be *Kronecker equivalent* over  $F$  if the sets  $S(K_1/F), S(K_2/F)$  differ by at most finitely many elements. We will need the main result of Gerst [3].

**1.3 Theorem.** *Let  $X^n - a, X^n - b$  be irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then the fields  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{a}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{b})$  are Kronecker equivalent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if either*

- (i)  $ab^i \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  for some  $i$  with  $(i, n) = 1$ , or
- (ii)  $8|n$  and  $ab^i 2^{n/2} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  for some  $i$  with  $(i, n) = 1$ .

By 1.2, note that if  $K_1, K_2$  are arithmetically equivalent then they are Kronecker equivalent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The reverse implication does not hold since Kronecker equivalence does not even imply that the fields have the same degree over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Even if one restricts to fields of the same degree, Kronecker equivalence does not imply arithmetic equivalence for the following reason. If  $K_2$  is arithmetically equivalent to  $K_1$ , then  $K_2$  is contained in the Galois closure of  $K_1$ , so there are only finitely many fields arithmetically equivalent to  $K_1$ . However, Jehne [5] has shown that if  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is cyclic of odd order, then there are infinitely many fields  $K$  with  $[K:L] = 2$  with each  $K$  Kronecker equivalent to  $L$  (and thus to each other). Thus, if  $K$  is any one of these, then there are infinitely many fields Kronecker equivalent to  $K$  having the same degree over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus, there must exist a pair of such fields which are Kronecker equivalent but not arithmetically equivalent.

Finally, for the study of Adèle rings, we state the following result due to Iwasawa ([4], Lemma 7).

**1.4 Theorem.** *If  $K_1, K_2$  are two algebraic number fields, then the Adèle rings of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are isomorphic if and only if for every prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is a bijection between the prime divisors of  $p$  in  $K_1$  with the prime divisors of  $p$  in  $K_2$  such that the corresponding completions are isomorphic. That is,  $\mathcal{A}_{K_1} \cong \mathcal{A}_{K_2}$  if and only if  $K_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p \cong K_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ , for all primes  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

**1.5 Corollary.** *If  $K_1, K_2$  are two number fields with isomorphic Adèle rings, then  $K_1, K_2$  are arithmetically equivalent.*